

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,897	11/21/2003	Jonathan Phillips	044463-0264	9078
22428 7590 10/18/2007 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			COLLINS, CYNTHIA E	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	,
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/717,897	PHILLIPS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cynthia Collins	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·	1			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= ' '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's submission filed on July 25, 2007 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3 and 7-20 are cancelled.

Claims 2 and 4 are currently amended.

Claims 2 and 4-6 are pending and are examined.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

All previous objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn.

Election/Restrictions

Applicants respectfully request that once the pending claims are found allowable, claims 1 and 7-20 be introduced into the application and rejoined in accordance with the provisions of the M.P.E.P., §821.04. Applicants further request that the requirement for restriction between the pending claims and the rejoined claims be withdrawn and the rejoined claims be fully examined for patentability, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.104. (reply page 8)

Applicants' request for rejoinder is acknowledged, but the request is moot because the claims at issue (1 and 7-20) have been cancelled and are thus no longer pending. Further, no withdrawn process claims are currently pending, and no new process claims have been presented. Accordingly, there are no process claims available for rejoinder. See page 7 of the office action mailed December 21, 2005, which states that

... Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise**

Art Unit: 1638

include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated nucleic acid molecule of SEQ ID NO: 47, does not reasonably provide enablement for other isolated nucleic acid molecules. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims, for the reasons of record.

Applicants' arguments filed July 25, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1638

Applicants point to the M.P.E.P. section § 2164.08 and maintain that their disclosure is sufficiently commensurate in scope with the claims to enable the person skilled in the art to make and/or use their invention (reply pages 6-7).

The Examiner maintains that the full scope of the claimed invention is not enabled because it is unpredictable whether a polynucleotide that is capable of conferring vascular-preferred polynucleotide transcription would be capable of downregulating the expression of an operably linked gene. While the specification discloses that SEQ ID NO:47 functions to confer vascular and xylem preferred polynucleotide transcription, the specification does not disclose that SEQ ID NO:47 is capable of downregulating the expression of an operably linked gene.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is allowed.

Double Patenting

Claim 5 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 2. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,897

Art Unit: 1638

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of objection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Remarks

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Collins whose telephone number is (571) 272-0794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:45 AM -5:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1638

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cynthia Collins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1638

CC